

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X  
:  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, :  
:  
v. : 13-MJ-171  
:  
MOHAMMED CHOWDRY, : February 26, 2013  
:  
Defendant. : Brooklyn, New York  
:  
-----X

TRANSCRIPT OF CRIMINAL CAUSE FOR ARRAIGNMENT  
BEFORE THE HONORABLE JOAN M. AZRACK  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For the Government: LORETTA LYNCH, ESQ.  
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY  
BY: SAM NITZE, ESQ.  
ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEY  
271 Cadman Plaza East  
Brooklyn, New York 11201

For the Defendant: JOSHUA DRATEL, ESQ.

Audio Operator:

Court Transcriber: ARIA SERVICES, INC.  
c/o Elizabeth Barron  
102 Sparrow Ridge Road  
Carmel, NY 10512  
(845) 260-1377

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording,  
transcript produced by transcription service

1 THE CLERK: Case number 13-MJ-171, United  
2 States v. Mohammed Chowdry.

3 Counsel, please state your names for the  
4 record.

5 MR. NITZE: Sam Nitze for the government.  
6 Good afternoon, your Honor.

7 THE COURT: Good afternoon.

8 MR. NITZE: Here with Special Agent  
9 Christopher Hecht (ph).

10 MR. DRATEL: Good afternoon, your Honor.  
11 Joshua Dratel for Mr. Chowdry.

12 THE COURT: Agent Hecht, do you swear to the  
13 truth of your complaint?

14 AGENT HECHT: Yes, ma'am.

15 THE COURT: Mr. Dratel, have you reviewed  
16 the complaint with your client?

17 MR. DRATEL: Yes, your Honor.

18 THE COURT: Mr. Chowdry, do you understand  
19 what the charges are?

20 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

21 THE COURT: Do you want a preliminary  
22 hearing for him?

23 MR. DRATEL: Yes, your Honor.

24 THE CLERK: Two weeks from today is March  
25 12<sup>th</sup> at 11:00.

1                   THE COURT:   What's the government's position  
2 on bail?

3                   MR. NITZE:   Your Honor, the government's  
4 position is that the defendant presents a significant  
5 danger and should be held in custody.

6                   MR. DRATEL:   Your Honor, we are seeking  
7 bail.   He's been in the United States since 1994.   He  
8 has permanent resident status.   His son, who is a  
9 citizen, is here, as well as a family friend.   He also  
10 has three other children in the U.S., who are all  
11 citizens.

12                   The family has a house that it lives in, but  
13 it's in the son Shaquil's (ph) name.   It has about  
14 \$150,000 worth of equity.   Mr. Chowdry is a taxi driver  
15 in New York City, his son is a taxi driver in New York  
16 City.   And they in fact have a medallion as well.

17                   The pretrial report recommends bail.   I  
18 think the Court can set a reasonable bail, with  
19 whatever conditions are necessary.   Mr. Shaquil Chowdry  
20 has his father's passport with him now.   He can  
21 surrender it right now.

22                   This is a case I think that is ultimately a  
23 family dispute.   There are some other factors that I  
24 think will prove unrelated, that right now may appear  
25 to be related but are not related.

1 THE COURT: What would those be?

2 MR. DRATEL: That the homicides are in any  
3 way related to Mr. Chowdry. I mean, this is -- your  
4 Honor, from the little internet research I did from the  
5 time I was contacted two or three hours ago and coming  
6 here, on the internet -- (ui) second most violent city  
7 in Pakistan next to Kurachi. It has a murder rate the  
8 equal of Colombia, the country.

9 Also, what I learned as well, not on the  
10 internet but I learned from just a rudimentary  
11 investigation in literally a couple of hours is that  
12 the cousin whose family was victimized is someone who  
13 is a fugitive from Dubai, was arrested and deported  
14 from Dubai, is in Pakistan under an assumed name and  
15 has a criminal past of some significance.

16 THE COURT: Wait, the sister or the father?

17 MR. DRATEL: There is a person who is  
18 referred to in this complaint as a cousin, and I don't  
19 know if it's really a blood relative but I'm using the  
20 term cousin for purposes of identification here. It's  
21 paragraph 4, where it says that the daughter --

22 THE COURT: Yeah.

23 MR. DRATEL: -- Amin Ajmal (ph) --

24 THE COURT: Right.

25 MR. DRATEL: That's her last name -- escaped

1 from Dubai with the assistance of a cousin. That  
2 cousin is the person with the criminal record. That  
3 cousin's father and sister are the homicide victims.  
4 This is something that's been going on for eight weeks  
5 now.

6 That she returned to the United States and  
7 discussions about what's going to happen in terms of  
8 family and what she's going to do -- there is a wealth  
9 of recorded conversations, and my understanding is  
10 that, with the exception of the one allegation here,  
11 there's no other allegation of anything untoward heard  
12 in any of the other conversations.

13 And that this claim of three years of  
14 confinement in Pakistan is simply absurd. She was  
15 married in a public, religious ceremony with a thousand  
16 guests, in October of 2012.

17 THE COURT: Getting back to paragraph 4,  
18 there aren't many interpretations of the February 20<sup>th</sup>  
19 and 23<sup>rd</sup> consensually recorded conversations. And then  
20 coincidentally, they happen to be murdered?

21 MR. DRATEL: Your Honor --

22 THE COURT: You're saying it's just a random  
23 act of violence in a very violent province, or it's  
24 this cousin who's related to organized crime.

25 MR. DRATEL: What I'm saying is, the

1 inference is -- I understand the inferences. I'm not  
2 blind to --

3 THE COURT: These are words that came out of  
4 your client's mouth.

5 MR. DRATEL: I understand.

6 THE COURT: It's not as if we have somebody  
7 else on the phone and we're saying, attribute that to  
8 my client. These are --

9 MR. DRATEL: I understand.

10 THE COURT: -- according to the government,  
11 recorded conversations in which your client is making  
12 these threats.

13 MR. DRATEL: I understand. What I'm saying  
14 is that the connection is merely an inference, and that  
15 there are other inferences that can be drawn. And  
16 merely on the basis of that --

17 THE COURT: But I don't know how reasonable  
18 those other ones are under these circumstances.

19 MR. DRATEL: Well, I think that this  
20 inference is based on --

21 THE COURT: Somebody threatens something if  
22 something doesn't happen, it doesn't happen, and then  
23 that act is carried out.

24 MR. DRATEL: I understand the inference.

25 THE COURT: In a very short time period. So

1 the fact that -- the time period in and of itself makes  
2 the inference stronger.

3 MR. NITZE: If I may, your Honor, I might be  
4 able to flesh out --

5 THE COURT: Maybe you can assist me. You  
6 probably know more about this than me. I just know the  
7 complaint.

8 MR. NITZE: I think to describe it as a  
9 family dispute is, while in one sense accurate, I think  
10 kind of significantly understates the gravity of what's  
11 going on here. But just to address a couple of points:

12 First, with respect to the homicides in  
13 Pakistan, the person who reported to the police and  
14 witnessed the homicides was the wife of this cousin --  
15 excuse me, the mom of the cousin. The cousin who  
16 helped the defendant's daughter escape from her  
17 confinement --

18 THE COURT: Yeah.

19 MR. NITZE: I'll return to this confinement  
20 question in a moment -- and who was being punished for,  
21 I don't know, disgracing the family's honor, it is his  
22 mother who witnessed her husband and child murdered.  
23 Our understanding is she identified the defendant's  
24 brother as one of the shooters. The defendant's  
25 brother is the uncle of the defendant's daughter, who

1 kept her in confinement.

2           Now, I suppose reasonable minds can disagree  
3 about how much -- what kind of conduct is covered by  
4 the word confinement, but she was kept without a cell  
5 phone, access to the internet, and was not allowed to  
6 leave the home without an escort of one kind or  
7 another. Ultimately, the marriage was performed, I  
8 suppose in a public ceremony, but that was after  
9 threats were issued on her life.

10           There's more I could say but I'll leave it  
11 there. I think the inferences to be drawn from the  
12 murders that happened soon on the heels of these  
13 threats are one directional.

14           MR. DRATEL: This is all untested. I mean,  
15 this is really third and fourth-hand information, so I  
16 don't know what level of --

17           THE COURT: It's not third hand.

18           MR. DRATEL: I'm not going to dispute what  
19 Mr. Nitze is saying because, obviously, he had  
20 information. But we're talking about information which  
21 -- I'm just concerned about the reliability of it,  
22 frankly.

23           THE COURT: This isn't a situation where we  
24 don't have your client, or allegedly have your client  
25 making statements, making threats in a consensually



1 recorded telephone call, and then those threats are  
2 carried out because what he says is supposed to happen  
3 didn't happen. And then we have a witness, albeit in  
4 Pakistan, who says, I observed the murders.

5 Is that what you're saying?

6 MR. NITZE: That is my understanding, that  
7 the witness identifies his brother as involved in the  
8 shooting.

9 THE COURT: I mean, if this was just plain  
10 vanilla immigration fraud, your client would be out on  
11 the suggested bond, you know, five minutes ago. But  
12 this isn't and --

13 Did you have something else?

14 MR. NITZE: Just to add that this  
15 information is coming from a police report that was  
16 written up in Pakistan through the U.S. Consulate. It  
17 was sent to us from the U.S. Consulate. It's obviously  
18 possible for a police report to contain erroneous  
19 information, but that's just the source of our --

20 THE COURT: And is Ajmal in the United  
21 States at this time?

22 MR. NITZE: Yes.

23 THE COURT: This is not a plain vanilla  
24 immigration fraud case. I've never had a case like  
25 this come before me, where unfortunately for your

1 client, right now, everything points in his direction.  
2 The inferences -- the only reasonable inferences to  
3 draw in these circumstances are that your client is  
4 exactly as he's portrayed in this complaint, which is  
5 someone who was behind, in some fashion, these murders  
6 and this confinement and the crime charged.

7 So, unfortunately, what you have proposed is  
8 not sufficient, given these facts. So I'm going to  
9 deny your application. I think your client is a risk  
10 of flight and I think he's also a danger to the  
11 community.

12 MR. DRATEL: Thank you, your Honor.

13 THE COURT: You have your preliminary  
14 hearing date.

15 Is there anything else?

16 MR. NITZE: Not from the government, your  
17 Honor.

18 THE COURT: Anything else?

19 MR. DRATEL: Just I know the agents have  
20 (ui) of Mr. Chowdry's, so just to make sure that that  
21 goes with him.

22 THE COURT: They know what to do. Okay.

23 \* \* \* \* \*

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I certify that the foregoing is a correct  
transcript from the electronic sound recording of the  
proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'EB', with a long horizontal line extending to the right.

ELIZABETH BARRON

April 17, 2013